Program SAO Summary Evaluation Form

Division/Program: Library & Computer Lab Semester Evaluated: 2016-17 Next Evaluation: Spring 2018		Lead Evaluator: Ron Hastings Participants: Library faculty and staff, campus community					
Service Area Outcome Statement	 SBVC Library services and resources will demonstrate a measurable positive impact on student success rates. A minimum of 80% of library users will be satisfied with Library services and resources. 						
Strategic Initiatives aligned with the SAO.	☐ Access ☐ Student Success ☐ Facilities ☐ Communication, Culture, & Climate ☐ Leadership & Professional Development ☐ Effective Evaluation and Accountability						
SAO Assessment Tool	 Data analysis to measure student success rates of library users versus campus averages SBVC Library Services Survey 						
Criteria – What is "good enough"? Rubric	 SBVC students who use Library services and/or resources will succeed (as measured by pass rate, retention, and term GPA) at higher-than-average rates. A minimum of 80% of respondents will agree or strongly agree with the statements below. 						
What are the results of the assessment? Are the results satisfactory?	The percentage of Survey respondents (168) reported below agreed or strongly agreed with the corresponding statements. Results are more than satisfactory. I feel welcome in the library, and comfortable asking staff for help. – 96% Library services and resources are sufficient to meet my needs as a student and a member of the community. – 96% Library hours of operation are sufficient and match my schedule well. – 90% The library environment (noise level, temperature, lighting, furnishings, etc.) is conducive to study. – 89% As a result of my visit I have a better understanding of how to conduct my own research. – 96% The resources and/or assistance I received during my visit will help me earn a better grade. – 94% The table below reflects the success rates of students who used library resources and services, compared to the student population at large. Results are more than satisfactory. Validation of the student population of the students of the stud						
Were trends evident in the outcomes? Are there gaps?	Students have an overwhelmingly positive opinion of the Library, and those who take advantage of its services and resources succeed at higher-than-average rates.						
What content, structure, strategies might improve outcomes?	Increased hours of operation continues to be the most frequent request, however extended hours (see below) have attracted few. Students also frequently mention noise levels and HVAC issues.						
Will you change evaluation and/or assessment method and or criteria?	Survey questions will be periodically revisited to assure that we are asking the most pertinent and revealing questions, to accurately measure critical resources and services.						
Evidence of Dialogue (Attach representative samples of evidence)	Check any that apply □ E-mail Discussion with □ FT Faculty □ Adjunct Faculty □ Staff Date(s): Jan 4 2017 □ Department Meeting. Date(s): □ Division Meetings. Date(s): Mar 3 2017, May 12 2017 □ Campus Committees. Date(s): (ex: Program Review; Curriculum; Academic Senate; Accreditation & SLOs) SLO Dialogue focused on: data collection and assessment						
Will you rewrite the SAOs	No, not at this time.						
Response to program outcome evaluation and assessment? How	>>> Beginning Spring 2016,						